

June 5, 2009  
KEK-TH-1314

# Coset for Hopf fibration and Squashing

Machiko Hatsuda<sup>\*†</sup> and Shinya Tomizawa<sup>\*</sup>

*<sup>\*</sup>Theory Division, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK),  
Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-0801, Japan*

*<sup>†</sup>Urawa University, Saitama 336-0974, Japan*

e-mail: mhatsuda@post.kek.jp and tomizawa@post.kek.jp

## Abstract

We provide a simple derivation of metrics for fundamental geometrical deformations such as Hopf fibration, squashing and  $\mathbf{Z}_k$  quotient which play essential roles in recent studies on the AdS4/CFT3. A general metric formula of Hopf fibrations for complex and quaternion cosets is presented. Squashing is given by a similarity transformation which changes the metric preserving the isometric symmetry of the projective space. On the other hand  $\mathbf{Z}_k$  quotient is given as a lens space which changes the topology preserving the “local” metric.

*Keywords:* Hopf fibration, projective space, squashing,  $\mathbf{Z}_k$  quotient

# 1 Introduction

In recent studies on AdS<sub>4</sub>/CFT<sub>3</sub> [1] interesting subjects are explored by fundamental geometrical deformations such as Hopf fibration, squashing and  $\mathbf{Z}_k$  quotient [2, 3, 4]. The AdS<sub>4</sub> ×  $\mathbf{S}^7$  is a maximal supersymmetric solution of the M-theory, and a gauge theory on the 3-dimensional membrane world volume including  $N=8$  supersymmetries is expected to be its CFT dual [1, 5]. For the  $N=6$  superconformal symmetry, whose bosonic subgroup is  $SU(4) \times U(1)$ , the Chern-Simon-matter theory with level  $k$  is conjectured to be CFT dual of the M theory on the AdS<sub>4</sub> ×  $\mathbf{S}^7/\mathbf{Z}_k$  [1]. Dimensional reduction by increasing  $k$  reduces it into the type IIA superstring theory on the AdS<sub>4</sub> ×  $\mathbf{CP}^3$  [6, 7]. The  $N=1$  superconformal theory with the bosonic subgroup  $Sp(2) \times Sp(1)$ , where  $Sp(2)=SO(5)$  and  $Sp(1)=SO(3)$ , is conjectured to be dual of the M theory on AdS<sub>4</sub> ×  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}^7/\mathbf{Z}_k$  [8] where  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}^7$  is squashed  $\mathbf{S}^7$ . There is also squashed  $\mathbf{CP}^3$  solution [9] and other squashing gravity duals in the AdS/CFT including  $N=2, 3$  superconformal cases have been examined [10].

Squashed solutions and  $\mathbf{Z}_k$  quotient solutions were widely studied in the 11-dimensional supergravity theory [3, 4, 11] and in the superstring theories [12]. Recently intensive studies on such deformations have been explored in the 5-dimensional supergravity theory related to black hole solutions [13, 14]. They are also useful to explore 11-dimensional supergravity solutions from the point of view of analogy between 5-dimensional and 11-dimensional supergravity theories [15]. Squashing deforms a kind of 5-dimensional asymptotically flat black hole solution into an asymptotically locally flat Kaluza-Klein black hole solution with a compact extra dimension.  $\mathbf{Z}_k$  quotient of a compact direction gives a Kaluza-Klein monopole solution with a magnetic charge  $k$  [16]. Squashing preserves the global isometric symmetry but changes the local metric, while  $\mathbf{Z}_k$  quotient changes the topological structure but does not change the local metric.

The Hopf fibration describes a 3-dimensional sphere in terms of a 1-dimensional fiber over a 2-dimensional sphere base space whose metric is a projective space metric locally. Its generalization to real, complex, quaternionic and octonionic projective spaces exist. The corresponding cosets are known as listed [17] in table 1. It is denoted that  $Sp(n)$  is a group of  $n \times n$  matrices of quaternion numbers. In this paper we present a simple description of these spaces by following the projective lightcone limit procedure proposed in [18]. The projective lightcone limit brings the AdS<sub>5</sub> space into the 4-dimensional projective lightcone space where CFT lives in. It was generalized to a Hopf fibration, a map from a  $(2N + 1)$ -dimensional sphere to a  $N$ -dimensional complex projective space, as a simple derivation of the Fubini-Study metric [19]. There is a gauged sigma model derivation of  $\mathbf{CP}^N$  metric [20] where constrained  $N + 1$  complex coordinates are used. Starting from the  $\mathbf{S}^{2N+1}$  metric, a gauge field is introduced for the local  $U(1)$  and then it is eliminated by the equation of motion to obtain the  $\mathbf{CP}^N$  metric. Instead we use a whole  $U(N + 1)$  matrix as coordinates which includes  $U(1)$  field and  $U(N)$  fields. In this formulation it is clear how coset, sigma model and geometry are related; for a coset  $U(N + 1)/U(N)$  the kinetic term for  $U(N)$  fields is absent resulting  $\mathbf{S}^{2N+1}$ , and for a coset  $U(N + 1)/U(N) \times U(1)$  the kinetic term for  $U(1)$  field in addition to  $U(N)$  fields is absent resulting  $\mathbf{CP}^N$ .

Table 1: Hopf fibration and coset

field	Hopf fibration			coset	
	total	fiber	base	sphere	projective space
real	$\mathbf{S}^N$	$\xrightarrow{\pm 1 \text{ points}}$	$\mathbf{RP}^N$	$\frac{O(N+1)}{O(N)}$	$\rightarrow \frac{O(N+1)}{O(N) \times \mathbf{Z}_2}$
complex	$\mathbf{S}^{2N+1}$	$\xrightarrow{\mathbf{S}^1}$	$\mathbf{CP}^N$	$\frac{U(N+1)}{U(N)}$	$\rightarrow \frac{U(N+1)}{U(N) \times U(1)}$
quaternion	$\mathbf{S}^{4N+3}$	$\xrightarrow{\mathbf{S}^3}$	$\mathbf{HP}^N$	$\frac{Sp(N+1)}{Sp(N)}$	$\rightarrow \frac{Sp(N+1)}{Sp(N) \times Sp(1)}$

In this paper we extend this formulation to Hopf fibrations listed in table 1 and to deformations such as squashing and  $\mathbf{Z}_k$  quotient. In next section a general formula of Hopf fibrations is derived where coordinates are embedded in a group matrix. Squashing is explained as a similarity transformation. After discussing a real coset case, a complex coset case is presented in section 4.  $\mathbf{Z}_k$  quotient is presented as a lens space there. In section 5 a quaternion coset case is presented. Squashed  $\mathbf{S}^7$  in our formulation is also presented which is consistent to the one obtained by Awada, Duff and Pope [3].

## 2 General formula

In this section we present a simple derivation of metrics for a sphere and a projective space. A squashing is introduced as a similarity transformation.

We begin by a metric for a sphere whose corresponding coset  $G/H$  is in table 1. For a general treatment we consider embedding these groups,  $G$  and  $H$ , into orthogonal group  $O(m+n+1)$  and  $O(n+1)$  where  $m$  and  $n$  take specific numbers as listed in table 2. There are conditions on an element of  $U(n)$  and  $Sp(n)$  as in table 2 with

$$g^t K g = K \quad , \quad K = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \epsilon \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad \epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$g^t L g = L \quad , \quad L = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_4 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_4 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon_4 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad \epsilon_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}_2 \\ -\mathbf{1}_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad . \quad (2.2)$$

Table 2: Embedding into orthogonal group of coset for sphere

orthogonal group embedded G/H	field	$m$	$n$	condition	G/H
$\frac{O(m+n+1)}{O(n+1)}$	real	1	$N-1$	none	$\frac{O(N+1)}{O(N)}$
	complex	2	$2N-1$	$g^t K g = K$	$\frac{U(N+1)}{U(N)}$
	quaternion	4	$4N-1$	$g^t K g = K, g^t L g = L$	$\frac{Sp(N+1)}{Sp(N)}$

For an element of the coset embedded in  $O(m+n+1)$  matrix  $z_A^B$  with  $A, B = 0, \dots, m, \dots, m+n$  it is convenient to denote  $z_A^0 = x_A$ . The orthonormal condition,  $z^t z = \mathbf{1}$ , leads to the metric for a  $(m+n)$ -dimensional sphere

$$(z^t z)^{00} = \sum_{A=0}^{m+n} (x_A)^2 = 1$$

$$ds_{\mathbf{S}^{m+n}}^2 = \sum_{A=0}^{m+n} (dx_A)^2 = \sum_{A,B=0}^{m+n} \delta^{AB} (J_A^0)^t J_B^0 \quad (2.3)$$

where  $J_A^B = (z^{-1} dz)_A^B$  is the left invariant (LI) one form. This is invariant under the global  $O(m+n+1)$  transformation of  $x_A$ , namely a round  $(m+n)$ -dimensional sphere.

On the other hand the same isometric symmetry of the sphere,  $G$ , is realized by a projective space as listed in (1). An element of  $G \ni z$  is partitioned into four blocks

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $A, B, C, D$  are  $m \times m, m \times (n+1), (n+1) \times m, (n+1) \times (n+1)$  matrices respectively. Diagonal blocks  $A$  and  $D$  are subgroup  $H$  of the coset for a projective space, while only  $D$  is included in  $H$  for a sphere coset. One of the two off-diagonal blocks,  $C$ , contains projective coordinates. In order to describe a projective space it is convenient to parametrize  $z$  as [18]

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ X & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & Y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.5)$$

The parameters in (2.4) and (2.5) are related as  $u = A$  and  $X = CA^{-1}$  showing that  $X$  is projective. Under the  $G$  transformation, block coordinates are transformed as

$$z \rightarrow z' = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z, \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G \quad (2.6)$$

$$\begin{cases} X' &= (c + dX)(a + bX)^{-1} \\ u' &= (a + bX)u \\ v' &= \{d - (c + dX)(a + bX)^{-1}b\}v \\ Y' &= Y + u^{-1}(a + bX)^{-1}bv. \end{cases}$$

The  $X$  parameter is a projective coordinate representing  $G$  by a fractional linear transformation. The LI current is calculated as

$$J = z^{-1}dz = \begin{pmatrix} J_u & J_Y \\ J_X & J_v \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.7)$$

$$\begin{cases} J_X &= v^{-1}dXu \\ J_u &= u^{-1}du - Yv^{-1}dXu \\ J_v &= v^{-1}dv + v^{-1}dXuY \\ J_Y &= dY + u^{-1}duY - Yv^{-1}dv - Yv^{-1}dXuY \end{cases} .$$

Each element is invariant under  $G$  transformation (2.6).

A sphere metric (2.3) is generalized to  $ds^2 = \|J_X\|^2 + \|J_u\|^2$  with a suitable norm. The contribution of  $\|J_Y\|^2$  equals to  $\|J_X\|^2$  for the orthogonal group so we just use simpler expression  $\|J_X\|^2$  only. The norm  $\|w\|^2$  is defined in such a way that it equals to the norm for a corresponding complex/quaternion number,  $|w|^2$ . Complex conjugate “\*” is taken care by transpose “ $t$ ” when a complex matrix is embedded in an real  $O(n)$  matrix as shown in the following sections. For example, suppose that  $w$  is  $O(2)$   $2 \times 2$  matrix written as  $a\mathbf{1}_2 + b\epsilon$  with real numbers  $a, b$ . The norm is defined as  $\|w\|^2 = \frac{1}{2}\text{tr } w^t w = a^2 + b^2$  which is equal to  $|w|^2 = w^*w = a^2 + b^2$  when it is recognized as a complex number,  $w = a + ib$ .

We denote “ $\text{tr } M^{AB}$ ” as  $\sum_{A=0}^{m-1} M^{AA}$ , since we only need this trace for  $m \times m$  matrices,  $(J_X^t J_X)^{AB}$  and  $(J_u^t J_u)^{AB}$ , with  $m = 2$  or  $4$  for complex or quaternion cases respectively. Both  $\|J_X\|^2$  and  $\|J_u\|^2$  are invariant under  $H$  transformation;

$$z \rightarrow z' = z \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{H} \quad (2.8)$$

$$J'_u = J_u, \quad J'_X = h^{-1}J_X$$

and  $h^t h = 1$  is used in the norm  $\|J_X\|^2$ .

The orthonormal condition of  $z$  of (2.5) is given by;

$$z^t z = 1 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} uu^t &= [1 + X^t X]^{-1} \\ vv^t &= [1 - X(1 + X^t X)^{-1} X^t]^{-1} \\ Y &= -u^t X^t v \end{cases} . \quad (2.9)$$

Inserting this relation into (2.7), the square of  $J_X$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_X\|^2 &= \frac{1}{m} \text{tr}(J_X)^t J_X = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{B=0}^{m-1} \sum_{A=m}^{m+n} (J_X^A)^t J_X^B \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \text{tr} \left( 1 + X^t X \right)^{-1} \left[ dX^t dX - dX^t X \left( 1 + X^t X \right)^{-1} X^t dX \right] \\ &= \frac{\|dX\|^2}{1 + \|X\|^2} - \frac{\|X^t dX\|^2}{(1 + \|X\|^2)^2} = ds_{\text{Fubini-Study}}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

This is a Fubini-Study metric for a projective space [19]. From the orthonormal condition of the first line of (2.9)  $u$  can be parametrized as

$$u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \|X\|^2}} U \quad , \quad U^t U = \mathbf{1}_m \quad (2.11)$$

where  $\mathbf{1}_m$  is a  $m$ -dimensional unit matrix. The square of  $J_u$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_u\|^2 &= \frac{1}{m} \text{tr} J_u^t J_u = \frac{1}{m} \text{tr} \left[ u^{-1} du + u^t X^t dX u \right]^t \left[ u^{-1} du + u^t X^t dX u \right] \\ &= \left\| dUU^{-1} + \frac{X^t dX - dX^* X}{2(1 + |X|^2)} \right\|^2 = ds_{\text{Hopf-fiber}}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

It turns out that this is a metric for the Hopf-fiber.

Now let us perform a similarity transformation with a parameter  $\lambda$  as

$$z \rightarrow z_\lambda = \Lambda z \Lambda^{-1} \quad , \quad \Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \mathbf{1}_m & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} . \quad (2.13)$$

Although this similarity transformation does not change the algebra, it scales a part of LI one forms as

$$J \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} J_u & \lambda J_Y \\ J_X/\lambda & J_v \end{pmatrix} . \quad (2.14)$$

Taking into account the rescaling  $ds^2 \rightarrow ds^2/\lambda^2$  for a normalization, the metric for a sphere becomes

$$ds_{\mathbb{S}^{m+n}}^2 = ds_{\text{Fubini-Study}}^2 + \lambda^2 ds_{\text{Hopf-fiber}}^2 \quad (2.15)$$

$$\begin{cases} ds_{\text{Fubini-Study}}^2 &= \frac{|dX|^2}{1 + |X|^2} - \frac{|X^* dX|^2}{(1 + |X|^2)^2} \\ ds_{\text{Hopf-fiber}}^2 &= \left| dUU^{-1} + \frac{X^* dX - dX^* X}{2(1 + |X|^2)} \right|^2 \end{cases}$$

where  $X$  and  $U$  variables are complex or quaternion numbers and the norm  $\|w\|^2$  is replaced by the usual norm  $|w|^2$ ; for a complex case  $2N \times 2$  rectangular matrix  $X$  is recognized as a complex  $N$  vector, and for a quaternion case  $4N \times 4$  rectangular matrix is recognized as a quaternion  $N$  vector. The parameter  $\lambda$  in (2.15) is ‘‘squashing’’ parameter which is in general a function of coordinates [13, 14]. For such cases the similarity transformation (2.13) gives extra term in (2.14), which however is not added for preserving the G symmetry. This transformation is different from the Weyl transformation so the Weyl tensor becomes non-vanishing in many cases which causes supersymmetry breaking. The metric (2.15) has G invariance independently on the value of  $\lambda$  since each term is invariant under G transformations (2.6). In addition to G symmetry  $\|J_u\|^2$  term has another symmetry which is a shift of the Hopf fiber coordinate, independently on the value of  $\lambda$ .

This deformation is recognized as the symmetry breaking of the orthonormal metric. A round sphere metric (2.3) can be written in terms of the orthonormal frame as

$$\begin{aligned}
ds_{\text{round } \mathbf{S}^{m+n}}^2 &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{C=0}^{m-1} \sum_{\underline{A}, \underline{B}=0}^{m+n} \delta^{AB} (J_{\underline{A}}^C)^t J_{\underline{B}}^C \\
\delta^{AB} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_m & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

where  $O(m+n+1)$  symmetry is manifest. However the squashed sphere metric (2.15) gives the following expression

$$\begin{aligned}
ds_{\text{squashed } \mathbf{S}^{m+n}}^2 &= \lambda^2 \|J_u\|^2 + \|J_X\|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{C=0}^{m-1} \left( \lambda^2 \sum_{A,B=0}^{m-1} \delta^{AB} (J_A^C)^t J_B^C + \sum_{A,B=m}^{m+n} \delta^{AB} (J_A^C)^t J_B^C \right) \\
\delta^{AB} &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 \mathbf{1}_m & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

where the symmetry of the orthonormal metric is broken to  $O(m) \times O(n+1)$ . Despite of this smaller vacuum symmetry the projective coordinates can realize a larger symmetry  $G$  by the fractional linear transformation. Only for  $\lambda^2 = 1$  the  $O(m+n+1)$  invariance of the orthonormal metric is recovered in addition to  $G$  symmetry. In the  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  limit a sphere becomes a projective space with the Fubini-Study metric (2.10) where the space dimension is reduced.

### 3 Real coset

At first we consider a real coset  $O(N+1)/O(N)$  as  $\mathbf{S}^0$  ( $\pm 1$  points) fibration over  $\mathbf{RP}^N$ . An element of  $G=O(N+1) \ni z$  is decomposed into four blocks as (2.4). In the parametrization of (2.5)  $u$  and  $X$  are  $1 \times 1$  and  $N \times 1$  matrices respectively. For example  $N = 7$  case,  $\mathbf{S}^7$  is written as  $\mathbf{S}^0$  ( $\pm 1$ ) fibration over  $\mathbf{RP}^7$ . A round seven sphere is easily seen in the parametrization of  $z \in O(8)$  as

$$z = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} x_0 & \\ \hline x_1 & \\ x_2 & \\ x_3 & \\ x_4 & \\ x_5 & \\ x_6 & \\ x_7 & \end{array} \right) \tag{3.1}$$

with  $x_0 = u$  and  $x_A = X_A^0 u$  for  $A = 1, \dots, 7$ , while the Hopf fibration is easily seen in the  $\mathbf{RP}^7$  coordinates  $X_A^0$  with  $A = 1, \dots, 7$  and the fiber coordinate  $u$ .

The fiber coordinate “ $u$ ” in (2.11) can be chosen as

$$u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+X^2}}U, \quad X^2 = \sum_{A=1}^n X_A^0 X_A^0, \quad U = \pm 1. \quad (3.2)$$

The LI one form responsible for the fiber is zero,  $J_u = 0$ . The metric (2.15) becomes the one for  $\mathbf{RP}^N$  is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{\mathbf{RP}^N}^2 &= \frac{dX^2}{1+X^2} - \frac{(X \cdot dX)^2}{(1+X^2)^2} \\ &= \frac{dr^2}{(1+r^2)^2} + \frac{r^2 d\Omega_{(N-1)}^2}{1+r^2} \\ &= d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\Omega_{(N-1)}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

which is the metric for a “locally” round  $\mathbf{S}^N$ . In the second line from the bottom  $X^2 = r^2$  and  $dX^2 = dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_{(N-1)}^2$  are used and  $r = \tan\theta$  is used for the last line. There is no room to introduce  $\lambda$  for the  $\mathbf{S}^0$  fiber.

## 4 Complex coset

Next we consider a complex coset  $U(N+1)/U(N)$  which corresponds to  $\mathbf{S}^1$  fibration over  $\mathbf{CP}^N$ . When an element of  $U(N+1)$  matrix is embedded in  $(2N+2) \times (2N+2)$  orthogonal matrix,  $z$ , it preserves a Kähler form metric  $z^t K z = K$  in (2.1) as well as  $z^t z = 1$ . Since  $\epsilon^2 = -1$  and  $\epsilon^t = -\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon$  is an imaginary base “ $i$ ” and “transpose” is replaced with complex conjugate “ $*$ ”. Then  $z$  is recognized as  $(N+1) \times (N+1)$  matrix of complex numbers by recognizing a  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $w = a\mathbf{1}_2 + b\epsilon$  as a complex number  $w = a + ib$  with real numbers  $a$  and  $b$ .

Let us decompose a coordinate  $z \in U(N+1)$  into four blocks as (2.4).  $u$  and  $X$  in the parametrization (2.5) are  $2 \times 2$  and  $2N \times 2$  matrices respectively.  $\mathbf{S}^7$  is given by  $N=3$  which is  $\mathbf{S}^1$  fibration over  $\mathbf{CP}^3$ . A round seven sphere and a Hopf fibration are easily seen in the following parametrizations of  $z \in U(4)$  respectively as

$$z = \left( \begin{array}{cc|cccccc} x_0 & -x_1 & & & & & & \\ x_1 & x_0 & & & & & & \\ \hline x_2 & -x_3 & & & & & & \\ x_3 & x_2 & & & & & & \\ x_4 & -x_5 & & & & & & \\ x_5 & x_4 & & & & & & \\ x_6 & -x_7 & & & & & & \\ x_7 & x_6 & & & & & & \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c|cccc} u & & & & \\ \hline X_1 u & & & & \\ X_2 u & & & & \\ X_3 u & & & & \end{array} \right). \quad (4.1)$$

The fiber coordinate in (2.11) is parametrized as

$$u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \sum_{I=1}^N |X_I|^2}} e^{i\phi}. \quad (4.2)$$



From the metric formula (2.15) the metric for a  $\mathbf{S}^{2N+1}$  as  $\mathbf{S}^1$  fibration over  $\mathbf{CP}^N$  including a squashed parameter  $\lambda$  is given as

$$\begin{aligned}
 ds_{\mathbf{S}^{2N+1}}^2 &= ds_{\mathbf{CP}^N}^2 + ds_{\mathbf{S}^1}^2 \tag{4.3} \\
 \left\{ \begin{aligned}
 ds_{\mathbf{CP}^N}^2 &= \frac{\sum_{I=1}^N |dX_I|^2}{1 + \sum_{I=1}^N |X_I|^2} - \frac{\left| \sum_{I=1}^N dX_I^* X_I \right|^2}{\left(1 + \sum_{I=1}^N |X_I|^2\right)^2} \\
 ds_{\mathbf{S}^1}^2 &= \lambda^2 (d\phi + A)^2, \quad A = -\frac{\sum_{I=1}^N i(X_I^* dX_I - dX_I^* X_I)}{2\left(1 + \sum_{I=1}^N |X_I|^2\right)}
 \end{aligned} \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

$ds_{\mathbf{S}^{2N+1}}^2$  is invariant under the  $G=U(N+1)$  symmetry with the transformation rule (2.6) independently from the value of  $\lambda$ , where the  $\mathbf{CP}^N$  coordinates are transformed as a linear fractional transformation. In addition to  $U(N+1)$  there exists another  $U(1)$  symmetry;  $\phi \rightarrow \phi + c$  with constant number  $c$ . Combining this  $U(1)$  and  $U(1)$  in  $U(N+1)$ , the whole symmetry of the  $(2N+1)$ -dimensional sphere metric  $ds_{\mathbf{S}^{2N+1}}^2$  in (4.3) is  $SU(N+1) \times U(1)$ .

The Einstein condition allows only  $\lambda^2 = 1$  which corresponds to a round  $\mathbf{S}^{2N+1}$  metric with  $O(2N+2)$  symmetry. Reducing dimensions allows another Einstein solution with  $\lambda = 0$  corresponding to the Fubini-Study metric for  $\mathbf{CP}^N$ . At  $\lambda^2 = 1$  the  $O(2N+2)$  symmetry is recovered from  $U(N+1)$  which is reflection of recovery of the vacuum symmetry as shown in (2.17). It is also easily seen by introducing the coordinates  $\tilde{X}_I = X_I e^{i\phi}$ , then the metric is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
 ds_{\mathbf{S}^{2N+1}}^2 &= \frac{d\phi^2 + \sum_{I=1}^N |d\tilde{X}_I|^2}{1 + \sum_{I=1}^N |\tilde{X}_I|^2} - \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}d \sum_I |\tilde{X}_I|^2\right)^2}{\left(1 + \sum_{I=1}^N |\tilde{X}_I|^2\right)^2} + (\lambda^2 - 1) \frac{(d\phi + \tilde{a})^2}{\left(1 + \sum_{I=1}^N |\tilde{X}_I|^2\right)^2} \tag{4.4} \\
 \tilde{a} &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{I=1}^N i(\tilde{X}_I^* d\tilde{X}_I - d\tilde{X}_I^* \tilde{X}_I)
 \end{aligned}$$

At  $\lambda^2 = 1$  with changing variables,  $\sum_I |\tilde{X}_I|^2 = r^2$ ,  $\sum_I |d\tilde{X}_I|^2 = dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_{(2N-1)}$  and  $r = \tan^2 \theta$ , the metric becomes

$$ds_{\mathbf{S}^{2N+1}}^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\Omega_{(2N-1)}^2 + \cos^2 \theta d\phi^2 \tag{4.5}$$

describing a round  $\mathbf{S}^{2N+1}$ . The first two terms in (4.4) contain real symmetric combinations,  $|V|^2$ , whose symmetry is not only  $U(N+1)$  but enlarged to  $O(2N+2)$ . On the other hand the third term in (4.4) contains ‘‘imaginary’’ skew combinations  $V^*W - W^*V$  whose invariance is  $\text{Sp}(2N+2; \mathbf{R})$ . Requiring both invariances at  $\lambda^2 \neq 1$  reduces to  $U(N+1)$  invariance.

Now let us consider  $\mathbf{Z}_k$  quotient of a sphere which is important deformation to change the topology [1, 14]. Lens spaces,  $\mathbf{S}^3/\mathbf{Z}_k$ , were considered for the geometrical interpretation of D-branes [21]. We present a general description of lens spaces in our coset formalism. Let  $\omega$  be a primitive  $k$ -th root of unity,  $\omega^k = \mathbf{1}$  and  $q_0, q_1, q_2, \dots$  be coprime to  $k$ . A lens space  $L(k; q_0, q_1, q_2, \dots)$  is a quotient of the  $\omega$  action for complex coordinates  $Z_A$  as  $(Z_0, Z_1, Z_2, \dots) \rightarrow (\omega^{q_0} Z_0, \omega^{q_1} Z_1, \omega^{q_2} Z_2, \dots)$ . This is realized as the “left” action in our formalism as

$$z = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} Z_0 & \\ \hline Z_1 & \\ Z_2 & \\ \vdots & \end{array} \right) \rightarrow z_\omega = \left( \begin{array}{ccc|c} \omega^{q_0} & & & \\ & \omega^{q_1} & & \\ & & \omega^{q_2} & \\ & & & \dots \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c|c} Z_0 & \\ \hline Z_1 & \\ Z_2 & \\ \vdots & \end{array} \right) . \quad (4.6)$$

Therefore it does not change the LI one form,  $z_\omega^{-1} dz_\omega = z^{-1} dz$ , and the “local” metric. But it changes periods of the fiber coordinates, so it changes a topology in general. A lens space  $L(2; 1, \dots, 1)$  is  $\mathbf{RP}^{2N+1}$ , and lens spaces  $L(k; q_0, q_1, q_2, \dots)$  are  $\mathbf{S}^{2N+1}/\mathbf{Z}_k$ . We consider a lens space  $L(k; 1, \dots, 1)$  as  $\mathbf{S}^{2N+1}/\mathbf{Z}_k$  [1]. Contrast to the squashing action (2.13), the  $\mathbf{Z}_k$  quotient is obtained by the left action of  $\omega = e^{i2\pi/k}$  operation:

$$\begin{aligned} z = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} Z_0 & \\ \hline Z_1 & \\ Z_2 & \\ \vdots & \end{array} \right) &\rightarrow z_\omega = \left( \begin{array}{c|ccc} \omega & & & \\ \hline & \omega & & \\ & & \omega & \\ & & & \dots \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c|c} Z_0 & \\ \hline Z_1 & \\ Z_2 & \\ \vdots & \end{array} \right) \\ &= \left( \begin{array}{c|cc} \mathbf{1} & & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline X_1 & & \\ X_2 & & \mathbf{1} \\ \vdots & & \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c|cc} \omega u & & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline & & \mathbf{1} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{1} & Y \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{array} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

In the second line it is shown that this action reduces into the action only on  $u$ . The  $\mathbf{Z}_k$  quotient  $z \sim z_\omega$  reduces to  $u \sim \omega u$  which changes the boundary condition of  $\phi$  introduced in (4.2),

$$u \sim \omega u \Leftrightarrow \phi \sim \phi + \frac{2\pi}{k} . \quad (4.8)$$

In general boundary conditions in lense space produce more general topological deformations.

We can examine the effect of the  $\mathbf{Z}_k$  quotient at  $\lambda^2 = 1$  for example, since the  $\mathbf{Z}_k$  quotient is independent procedure from squashing. For a round sphere metric (4.5) there is  $d\phi^2$  term where  $\phi$  has period  $2\pi/k$ . If we rewrite it in terms of a  $2\pi$  period coordinate  $\varphi$ , then it becomes  $d\phi^2 \rightarrow d\varphi^2/k^2$  so the orthonormal metric is distorted as  $\delta^{\phi\phi} = 1 \rightarrow \delta^{\varphi\varphi} = 1/k^2$ . In the  $O(2 + 2N)$  matrix coordinate  $d\phi$  is included only in the  $ds_{\mathbb{S}^1}^2$ , and the symmetry of the vacuum orthonormal metric is broken to  $O(2) \times O(2N)$ . It still preserve  $G=U(N+1)$  symmetry manifestly independent from the value of  $k$ . The metric

for a  $\mathbf{S}^{2N+1}/\mathbf{Z}_k$ , which is  $\mathbf{S}^1/\mathbf{Z}_k$  fibration over  $\mathbf{CP}^N$ , is given as

$$\begin{aligned}
 ds_{\mathbf{S}^{2N+1}/\mathbf{Z}_k}^2 &= ds_{\mathbf{CP}^N}^2 + ds_{\mathbf{S}^1/\mathbf{Z}_k}^2 & (4.9) \\
 \left\{ \begin{aligned}
 ds_{\mathbf{CP}^N}^2 &= \frac{\sum_{I=1}^N |dX_I|^2}{1 + \sum_{I=1}^N |X_I|^2} - \frac{\left| \sum_{I=1}^N dX_I^* X_I \right|^2}{\left(1 + \sum_{I=1}^N |X_I|^2\right)^2} \\
 ds_{\mathbf{S}^1/\mathbf{Z}_k}^2 &= (d\phi + A)^2 = \frac{1}{k^2} (d\varphi + kA)^2 \\
 A &= -\frac{\sum_{I=1}^N i (X_I^* dX_I - dX_I^* X_I)}{2(1 + \sum_{I=1}^N |X_I|^2)}
 \end{aligned} \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $ds_{\mathbf{CP}^N}^2$  and  $A$  are the same as the ones in (4.3). This is locally a round metric so the same Ricci curvature and the same energy independently on the value of  $k$ . But the isometry of this space is not  $O(2N+2)$  but breaks down to  $SU(N+1) \times U(1)$  at  $k \neq 1$ . Increasing  $k$ , the fiber contribution becomes small and only  $\mathbf{CP}^N$  space remains which satisfies the Einstein condition in  $2N$ -dimensional space.

## 5 Quaternion coset

In the last we consider a quaternion coset  $\text{Sp}(N+1)/\text{Sp}(N)$  which corresponds to  $\mathbf{S}^3$  fibration over  $\mathbf{HP}^N$ . When an element of  $\text{Sp}(N+1)$  matrix is embedded in  $(4N+4) \times (4N+4)$  orthogonal matrix  $z$ , it satisfies  $U(2N+2)$  condition  $z^t K z = K$  with (2.1) and the symplectic condition  $z^t L z = L$  with (2.2). Such matrix,  $z$ , is given by a  $(N+1) \times (N+1)$  matrix where each element is  $4 \times 4$  matrix  $w = a\mathbf{1}_4 + b\hat{\tau}_1 + c\hat{\tau}_2 + d\hat{\tau}_3$  with real numbers  $a, b, c, d$  and basis

$$\hat{\tau}_i = \begin{cases} \hat{\tau}_1 = 1 \otimes \epsilon \\ \hat{\tau}_2 = \epsilon \otimes \tau_1 \\ \hat{\tau}_3 = \epsilon \otimes \tau_3 \end{cases} . \quad (5.1)$$

Since  $\hat{\tau}_i \hat{\tau}_j = -\delta_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{\tau}_k$ ,  $\hat{\tau}_1 \hat{\tau}_2 \hat{\tau}_3 = -1$  and  $\hat{\tau}_i^t = -\hat{\tau}_i$ ,  $\hat{\tau}_i$  are quaternionic imaginary basis and transpose is complex conjugate “\*”. So  $w$  is a quaternion number giving a real norm,  $\|w\|^2 = \frac{1}{4} \text{tr } w^t w = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2$  which is equal to  $|w|^2 = w^* w$ .

Let us decompose a coset element  $z \in \text{Sp}(N+1)$  into four blocks as (2.4).  $u$  and  $X$  in the parametrization (2.5) are  $4 \times 4$  and  $4N \times 4$  matrices respectively.  $\mathbf{S}^7$  is given by  $N = 1$  case, which is  $\mathbf{S}^3$  fibration over  $\mathbf{HP}^1$ . An element  $z \in \text{Sp}(2)$  is parametrized as

follows:

$$z = \left( \begin{array}{cccc|cccc} x_0 & -x_1 & -x_2 & -x_3 & & & & \\ x_1 & x_0 & -x_3 & x_2 & & & & \\ x_2 & x_3 & x_0 & -x_1 & & & & \\ x_3 & -x_2 & x_1 & x_0 & & & & \\ \hline x_4 & -x_5 & x_6 & x_7 & & & & \\ x_5 & x_4 & x_7 & -x_6 & & & & \\ x_6 & x_7 & x_4 & -x_5 & & & & \\ x_7 & -x_6 & x_5 & x_4 & & & & \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} u & \\ \hline & Xu \end{array} \right) \quad (5.2)$$

The first parametrization is convenient for a round seven sphere and the second parametrization is convenient for the Hopf fibration.

From the orthonormal condition  $u$  can be parametrized as (2.11)

$$u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \sum_{I=1}^N |X_I|^2}} U \quad , \quad U \in \text{Sp}(1) \quad . \quad (5.3)$$

From the metric formula (2.15) the metric for a  $\mathbf{S}^{4N+3}$  which is a  $\mathbf{S}^3$  fibration over  $\mathbf{HP}^N$  is obtained as

$$ds_{\mathbf{S}^{4N+3}}^2 = ds_{\mathbf{HP}^N}^2 + ds_{\mathbf{S}^3}^2 \quad (5.4)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} ds_{\mathbf{HP}^N}^2 = \frac{\sum_{I=1}^N |dX_I|^2}{1 + \sum_{I=1}^N |X_I|^2} - \frac{\left| \sum_{I=1}^N dX_I^* X_I \right|^2}{\left(1 + \sum_{I=1}^N |X_I|^2\right)^2} \\ ds_{\mathbf{S}^3}^2 = \lambda^2 \sum_{i=1,2,3} (\nu_i + A_i)^2 \quad , \quad \nu_i = \Re(dUU^{-1} \hat{\tau}_i^{-1}) \\ A_i = \Re \left( \frac{\sum_{J=1}^N (X_J^* dX_J - dX_J^* X_J)}{2(1 + \sum_{I=1}^N |X_I|^2)} \hat{\tau}_i^{-1} \right) \end{array} \right.$$

where  $\Re(w)$  denotes a real part of  $w$ . This metric has  $\text{Sp}(N+1) \times \text{Sp}(1)$  symmetry independently from the value of  $\lambda$ ; The  $\mathbf{HP}^N$  coordinates,  $X_I$ , are transformed as a linear fractional transformation given by (2.6) realizing  $\text{Sp}(N+1)$  symmetry. The  $ds_{\mathbf{S}^3}^2$  has additional  $\text{Sp}(1)$  symmetry under which  $X_I$ 's are inert.

As in the general argument (2.16) it becomes a round  $(4N+3)$ -dimensional sphere at  $\lambda^2 = 1$  and the global symmetry is enhanced to  $\text{O}(4N+4)$ . At  $\lambda^2 = 1$  the metric (5.4) becomes the one for a round  $\mathbf{S}^{4N+3}$  which is easily seen in the following coordinates

$\tilde{X}_I = X_I U$  as

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{\mathbf{S}^{4N+3}}^2 &= \frac{\sum_{i=1,2,3} \nu_i^2 + \sum_I^N |d\tilde{X}_I|^2}{1 + \sum_{I=1}^N |\tilde{X}_I|^2} - \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}d \sum_{I=1}^N |\tilde{X}_I|^2\right)^2}{\left(1 + \sum_{I=1}^N |\tilde{X}_I|^2\right)^2} \\ &= d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\Omega_{(4N-1)}^2 + \cos^2 \theta d\Omega_{(3)}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

where  $\sum_{I=1}^N |\tilde{X}_I|^2 = (\tan \theta)^2$  and  $\sum_{I=1}^N |d\tilde{X}_I|^2 / (1 + |\tilde{X}_I|^2) = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\Omega_{(4N-1)}^2$  are used.

Next let us focus on  $N = 1$  case to examine a non-trivial squashed  $\mathbf{S}^7$  solution. In order to correspond a round  $\mathbf{S}^7$  coordinates and a squashed  $\mathbf{S}^7$  coordinates,  $\text{Sp}(1) \ni U$  and  $\mathbf{HP}^1$  coordinate  $X$  are expressed in terms of  $x_A$ ,  $A = 1, \dots, 7$  with  $|X|^2 = x_4^2$  and  $X = x_4 V$  as

$$U = \frac{1 + \sum_{i=1,2,3} x_i \hat{\tau}_i}{\sqrt{1 + \sum_{l=1,2,3} x_l^2}}, \quad V = \frac{1 + \sum_{i=1,2,3} x_{4+i} \hat{\tau}_i}{\sqrt{1 + \sum_{l=1,2,3} x_{4+l}^2}}.$$

This is an embedding of an instanton solution on  $\mathbf{S}^4$  into  $\text{SU}(2)$  fiber. The metric for  $\mathbf{S}^7$  as a  $\mathbf{S}^3$  fibration over  $\mathbf{HP}^1$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{\mathbf{S}^7}^2 &= \frac{1}{4} d\theta^2 + \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{4} \tilde{\nu}_i^2 + \lambda^2 \sum_{l=1,2,3} (\nu_i + A_i)^2 \quad (5.6) \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} A_i &= \frac{1 - \cos \theta}{2} \tilde{\nu}_i \\ \nu_i &= \Re(dUU^{-1} \hat{\tau}_i^{-1}) = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{l=1,2,3} x_l^2} (dx_i + \epsilon_{ijk} x_j dx_k) \\ \tilde{\nu}_i &= \Re(V^{-1} dV \hat{\tau}_i^{-1}) = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{l=1,2,3} x_{4+l}^2} (dx_{4+i} - \epsilon_{ijk} x_{4+j} dx_{4+k}) \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

where  $|X|^2 = \left(\tan \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^2$  which is different from  $\theta$  in (5.5). Two  $\text{Sp}(1)$  currents,  $\nu_i$  and  $\tilde{\nu}_i$ , satisfy  $\sum \nu_i^2 = \sum \tilde{\nu}_i^2 = d\Omega_{(3)}^2$ ,  $d\nu_i = \epsilon_{ijk} \nu_j \wedge \nu_k$  and  $d\tilde{\nu}_i = -\epsilon_{ijk} \tilde{\nu}_j \wedge \tilde{\nu}_k$ . The metric in vielbein form is given as

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{\mathbf{S}^7}^2 &= e^{\underline{a}} \delta_{\underline{ab}} e^{\underline{b}}, \quad \underline{a} = (1, 2, 3, \underline{\theta}, 5, 6, 7) \\ e^{\underline{i}} &= \lambda \left( \nu_i + \frac{1 - \cos \theta}{2} \tilde{\nu}_i \right) \\ e^{\underline{\theta}} &= \frac{1}{2} d\theta \\ e^{\underline{4+i}} &= \frac{\sin \theta}{2} \tilde{\nu}_i. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

The Ricci tensor with local Lorentz indices are

$$R_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{j}} = \left(\frac{2}{\lambda^2} + 4\lambda^2\right)\delta_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{j}} \quad , \quad R_{\underline{4+i}}^{\underline{4+j}} = 6(2 - \lambda^2)\delta_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{j}} \quad , \quad R_{\underline{\theta}}^{\underline{\theta}} = 6(2 - \lambda^2) \quad . \quad (5.8)$$

The Einstein metric condition,  $R_{\underline{ab}} = c\delta_{\underline{ab}} \Leftrightarrow R_{mn} = cg_{mn}$ , equates these coefficients to be equal. There are two solutions

$$6(2 - \lambda^2) = \frac{2}{\lambda^2} + 4\lambda^2 \quad \rightarrow \quad \lambda^2 = 1 \quad , \quad \frac{1}{5} \quad . \quad (5.9)$$

Detail of the computation is in the appendix. The  $\lambda^2 = 1$  solution corresponds to an O(8) invariant round seven sphere solution, while the  $\lambda^2 = 1/5$  solution corresponds to a squashed seven sphere which has only  $\text{Sp}(2) \times \text{Sp}(1) = \text{SO}(5) \times \text{SO}(3)$  invariance [3, 4]. Our coordinate system in (5.6) is slightly different from the one of the Awada, Duff and Pope, but it gives the same result which is the same ratio of sizes of the fiber  $\mathbf{S}^3$  and the base  $\mathbf{HP}^1 = \mathbf{S}^4$ . For  $\lambda^2 = 0$  it becomes the Fubini-Study metric of  $\mathbf{HP}^1$ .

## 6 Conclusion and discussions

We have presented a simple derivation of metrics for Hopf fibrations by a coset formulation. The coordinate is a group matrix and it is decomposed into four blocks. Fiber coordinates and projective coordinates are treated differently by embedding into different blocks; The former and the latter are embedded in an upper-left diagonal block and a lower-left off-diagonal block respectively. The remaining diagonal block corresponds to the stability group. Projective coordinates realize the isometric symmetry manifestly by a fractional linear transformation. Squashing is introduced as a similarity transformation which preserves the isometric symmetry of the projective space. It changes the metric and curvature tensors. Squashed  $\mathbf{S}^7$  is also obtained in this formulation which is consistent with the one [3]. The  $\mathbf{Z}_k$  quotient is introduced as a lens space which also preserves the isometric symmetry of the projective space. It does not change the “local” metric but changes the topology. It may be interesting to examine general lens space solutions  $\mathbf{S}^{2N+1}/\mathbf{Z}_k = \text{L}(k; q_0, q_1, \dots, q_N)$  where  $q_0, q_1, \dots, q_N$  are coprime to  $k$ .

Key of our simple description is the four blocks partition of a coordinate matrix (2.4) and (2.5) where the Hopf fiber coordinate is embedded in the upper-left diagonal block. This is not the case for the supersymmetrization of  $\text{AdS}_4 \times \mathbf{S}^7$  which is described by the supergroup  $\text{OSp}(8|4)$ . The Hopf fibration breaks  $\text{OSp}(8|4)$  into  $\text{OSp}(6|4)$  where  $\text{OSp}(6|4)$  is embedded in a diagonal block, then the Hop fiber  $\text{U}(1)$  is not embedded in a diagonal block of this  $\text{SO}(8)$  spinor representation. In the supergroup matrix there is another  $\text{U}(1)$  under which  $\text{OSp}(6|4)$  is singlet, so this is embedded in the diagonal block. There are several important  $\text{U}(1)$ 's in  $\text{OSp}(8|4)$  clarified by Gomis, Sorokin and Wulff [7]. The Hopf fiber  $\text{U}(1)$  and  $\text{U}(1)$  in  $\text{SU}(4)$  which is  $\text{SU}(3)$  invariant are given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\text{Hopf-fiber}} &= M_{12} \\ T_{\text{SU}(3)\text{-inv}} &= -3M_{12} + M_{34} + M_{56} + M_{78} \Rightarrow T_1 \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

where  $M_{ab}$   $a = 1, \dots, 8$  are  $\text{SO}(8)$  generators in the vector representation. On the other hand the spinor representation is obtained by multiplying gamma matrices  $\Gamma_a$ . Spinor states are classified by the chirality operator  $\Gamma_1 \cdots \Gamma_8$  and  $\text{U}(1)$  charge,  $T_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 &= \cancel{M}_{12} + \cancel{M}_{34} + \cancel{M}_{56} + \cancel{M}_{78} \\ &\sim \sigma_3 \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma_3 \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma_3 \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma_3 \\ T_1 &= \mathcal{T}_{\text{SU}(3)\text{-inv}} = -3\cancel{M}_{12} + \cancel{M}_{34} + \cancel{M}_{56} + \cancel{M}_{78} \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

with  $\cancel{M}_{12} = M_{12}\Gamma_{12}$ . The  $\text{U}(1)$  generated by  $T_2$  is embedded in a diagonal block.  $T_2$  corresponds to  $K_{ab}\Gamma_{ab}$  in (2.1). The positive chirality states are  $|\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle, \dots, |\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$  with  $T_2$  charge  $(2, -2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$  respectively, which is  $1_2 + 1_{-2} + 6_0$ . The negative chirality states are  $|\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, \dots, |\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow\rangle, \dots$  with  $T_2$  charge  $(1, 1, 1, 1, -1, -1, -1, -1)$  respectively, which is  $4_1 + 4_{-1}$ . Survived  $T_2$  invariant supergroup is  $\text{OSp}(6|4)$ . The remaining spinor states with  $T_2 = \pm 2$  in the positive chirality sector must make a closed supergroup  $\text{OSp}(2|4)$  where this  $\text{O}(2)=\text{U}(1)$  generated by  $T_2$ . Therefore the Hopf-fiber  $\text{U}(1)$  and another  $\text{U}(1)$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\text{Hopf-fiber}} &= \frac{1}{2}(T_2 - T_1) = \cancel{M}_{12} \\ T' &= \frac{1}{2}(T_1 + T_2) = -\cancel{M}_{12} + \cancel{M}_{34} + \cancel{M}_{56} + \cancel{M}_{78} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

In the spinor representation  $T_{\text{Hopf-fiber}}$  can not be embedded in a block among four, because  $|\cancel{M}_{12}^2| = \mathbf{1}_8$ . The bosonic part of the coset is  $\text{SU}(4) \times \text{U}(1) / \text{SU}(3) \times \text{U}(1)'$ , where  $\text{U}(1)$  in the numerator is generated by  $T_2$  and  $\text{U}(1)'$  in the denominator is generated by  $T'$ . It will be useful to have a simple treatment of the supersymmetric Hopf fibration and deformations. We put this problem for a future problem including integrability analysis of the  $\text{AdS}_4/\text{CFT}_3$  correspondence.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge Shun'ya Mizoguchi and Yosuke Sumitomo for very useful discussions and suggestions throughout this work. M.H. is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 18540287. S.T. is supported by the JSPS under Contract No. 20-10616.

## A Ricci tensor of squashed $\mathbf{S}^7$

The metric (5.6) is written as

$$ds_{\mathbf{S}^7}^2 = \frac{1}{4}d\theta^2 + \frac{\sin^2\theta}{4}\tilde{\nu}_i^2 + \lambda^2 \sum_{l=1,2,3} \left(\nu_i + \frac{1 - \cos\theta}{2}\tilde{\nu}_i\right)^2 \quad . \quad (\text{A.1})$$

In the vielbein is determined as  $g_{mn} = e_m^a e_n^b \delta_{ab}$

$$e_m^{\underline{a}} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \Upsilon_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{j}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \hat{\lambda} \hat{\Upsilon}_{\hat{\underline{i}}}^{\hat{\underline{j}}} & 0 & \hat{\lambda} \hat{\Upsilon}_{\hat{\underline{i}}}^{\hat{\underline{j}}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{aligned} m &= (i, \theta, \hat{i}) = (1, 2, 3, \theta, 5, 6, 7) \\ \underline{a} &= (\underline{i}, \underline{\theta}, \hat{\underline{i}}) = (\underline{1}, \underline{2}, \underline{3}, \underline{\theta}, \underline{5}, \underline{6}, \underline{7}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

with  $\hat{\lambda} = \lambda \frac{1 - \cos \theta}{2}$  and  $\hat{\lambda} = \frac{\sin \theta}{2}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_{ij} &= \frac{\delta_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk} x^k}{1 + \sum_{l=1,2,3} x_l^2}, & \hat{\Upsilon}_{ij} &= \frac{\delta_{ij} - \epsilon_{ijk} x^{4+k}}{1 + \sum_{l=1,2,3} x_{4+l}^2} \\ \Upsilon^{-1}_{ij} &= \delta_{ij} - \epsilon_{ijk} x^k + x_i x_j, & \hat{\Upsilon}^{-1}_{ij} &= \delta_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk} x^{4+k} + x_{4+i} x_{4+j} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

The inverse vielbein is

$$e_{\underline{a}}^m = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda} \Upsilon^{-1}_{\underline{i}}{}^{\underline{j}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ -\frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\lambda \hat{\lambda}} \delta_{\hat{\underline{i}}}^{\hat{\underline{j}}} \Upsilon^{-1}_{\underline{j}}{}^{\underline{k}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}} \hat{\Upsilon}_{\hat{\underline{i}}}^{\hat{\underline{j}}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Derivative operators in the local Lorentz frame indices are closed as

$$e_{\underline{a}} = e_{\underline{a}}^m \partial_m, \quad [e_{\underline{a}}, e_{\underline{b}}] = c_{\underline{ab}}{}^{\underline{c}} e_{\underline{c}}, \quad c_{\underline{ab}}{}^{\underline{c}} = -e_{\underline{a}}^m e_{\underline{b}}^n \partial_{[m} e_{n]}{}^{\underline{c}}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Covariant derivative operators

$$\nabla_{\underline{a}} = e_{\underline{a}}^m \partial_m + \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\underline{a}}{}^{\underline{bc}} M_{\underline{cb}} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

satisfy

$$[\nabla_{\underline{a}}, \nabla_{\underline{b}}] = T_{\underline{ab}}{}^{\underline{c}} e_{\underline{c}} + \frac{1}{2} R_{\underline{ab}}{}^{\underline{cd}} M_{\underline{dc}} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

with Lorentz generator  $M_{\underline{ab}}$ . If the torsion is zero,  $T_{\underline{ab}}{}^{\underline{c}} = 0$ , curvature is written in terms of the structure constant

$$\omega_{\underline{abc}} = \frac{1}{2} (c_{\underline{bca}} - c_{\underline{abc}} + c_{\underline{acb}}), \quad R_{\underline{ab}}{}^{\underline{cd}} = e_{[\underline{a}} \omega_{\underline{b}]}{}^{\underline{cd}} - c_{\underline{ab}}{}^{\underline{e}} \omega_{\underline{e}}{}^{\underline{cd}} + \omega_{[\underline{a}}{}^{\underline{ce}} \omega_{\underline{b}]}{}^{\underline{d}}. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

The covariant derivative operators for the  $\text{Sp}(2) \times \text{Sp}(1)$  space (A.1), which is torsionless, are computed as

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\underline{i}} &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \Upsilon^{-1}_{\underline{i}}{}^{\underline{j}} \partial_j + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} \epsilon_{\underline{ijk}} M_{\underline{jk}} + \lambda \epsilon_{\hat{\underline{ijk}}} M_{\hat{\underline{jk}}} - \lambda \delta_{\hat{\underline{ij}}} M_{\hat{\underline{\theta j}}} \right\} \\ \nabla_{\underline{\theta}} &= 2 \partial_{\theta} \\ \nabla_{\hat{\underline{i}}} &= \frac{1 - \cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \delta_{\hat{\underline{i}}}^{\hat{\underline{j}}} \Upsilon^{-1}_{\underline{j}}{}^{\underline{k}} \partial_k + \frac{2}{\sin \theta} \hat{\Upsilon}^{-1}_{\hat{\underline{i}}}{}^{\hat{\underline{j}}} \partial_{\hat{\underline{j}}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{2(1 - \cos \theta)}{\sin \theta} \epsilon_{\underline{ijk}} M_{\underline{jk}} - \lambda \epsilon_{\hat{\underline{ijk}}} M_{\hat{\underline{jk}}} - \frac{2}{\sin \theta} \epsilon_{\hat{\underline{ijk}}} M_{\hat{\underline{jk}}} - \lambda \delta_{\hat{\underline{ik}}} M_{\hat{\underline{\theta k}}} - \frac{2 \cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \delta_{\hat{\underline{ik}}} M_{\hat{\underline{\theta i}}} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$



The Ricci tensor with local Lorentz indices,  $R_a{}^b = R_{ac}{}^{bc}$ ,

$$R_{\underline{i}}{}^{\underline{j}} = \left(\frac{2}{\lambda^2} + 4\lambda^2\right)\delta_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{j}} \quad , \quad R_{\underline{4+i}}{}^{\underline{4+j}} = 6(2 - \lambda^2)\delta_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{j}} \quad , \quad R_{\underline{\theta}}{}^{\underline{\theta}} = 6(2 - \lambda^2) \\ \text{others} = 0 \quad . \quad (A.10)$$

The Ricci tensor with curved indices is  $R_{mn} = e_m{}^a e_n{}^b R_{ab}$ .

## References

- [1] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D. L. Jafferis and J. Maldacena, “N=6 superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories, M2-branes and their gravity duals,” *JHEP* **0810** (2008) 091 [arXiv:0806.1218 [hep-th]].
- [2] B. E. W. Nilsson and C. N. Pope, “Hopf Fibration Of Eleven-Dimensional Supergravity,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **1** (1984) 499.
- [3] M. A. Awada, M. J. Duff and C. N. Pope, “N = 8 supergravity breaks down to N = 1,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50** (1983) 294.
- [4] M. J. Duff, B. E. W. Nilsson and C. N. Pope, “Spontaneous Supersymmetry Breaking By The Squashed Seven Sphere,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50** (1983) 2043; 51(1983) 846 (E).
- [5] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, “Gauge Symmetry and Supersymmetry of Multiple M2-Branes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 065008 [arXiv:0711.0955 [hep-th]]; “Three-Algebras and N=6 Chern-Simons Gauge Theories,” *Phys. Rev. D* **79** (2009) 025002 [arXiv:0807.0163 [hep-th]];  
A. Gustavsson, “Selfdual strings and loop space Nahm equations,” *JHEP* **0804** (2008) 083 [arXiv:0802.3456 [hep-th]].
- [6] G. Aldazabal and A. Font, “A second look at N=1 supersymmetric AdS<sub>4</sub> vacua of type IIA supergravity,” *JHEP* **0802** (2008) 086 [arXiv:0712.1021 [hep-th]];  
G. Arutyunov and S. Frolov, “Superstrings on AdS<sub>4</sub> x CP<sup>3</sup> as a Coset Sigma-model,” *JHEP* **0809** (2008) 129 [arXiv:0806.4940 [hep-th]];  
B. j. Stefanski, “Green-Schwarz action for Type IIA strings on AdS<sub>4</sub> x CP<sup>3</sup>,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **808** (2009) 80 [arXiv:0806.4948 [hep-th]];  
C. Ahn, P. Bozhilov and R. C. Rashkov, “Neumann-Rosochatius integrable system for strings on AdS<sub>4</sub> x CP<sup>3</sup>,” *JHEP* **0809** (2008) 017 [arXiv:0807.3134 [hep-th]];  
R. D’Auria, P. Fre, P. A. Grassi and M. Trigiante, “Superstrings on AdS<sub>4</sub> x CP<sup>3</sup> from Supergravity,” arXiv:0808.1282 [hep-th];  
R. C. Rashkov, “A note on the reduction of the AdS<sub>4</sub> x CP<sup>3</sup> string sigma model,” *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 106012 [arXiv:0808.3057 [hep-th]].
- [7] J. Gomis, D. Sorokin and L. Wulff, “The complete AdS(4) x CP(3) superspace for the type IIA superstring and D-branes,” arXiv:0811.1566 [hep-th].
- [8] H. Ooguri and C. S. Park, “Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories and the Squashed Seven Sphere,” *JHEP* **0811** (2008) 082 [arXiv:0808.0500 [hep-th]].

- [9] C. h. Ahn and S. J. Rey, “Three-dimensional CFTs and RG flow from squashing M2-brane horizon,” Nucl. Phys. B **565** (2000) 210 [arXiv:hep-th/9908110];  
C. Ahn, “Squashing Gravity Dual of N=6 Superconformal Chern-Simons Gauge Theory,” Class. Quant. Grav. **26** (2009) 105001 [arXiv:0809.3684 [hep-th]];
- [10] “Other Squashing Deformation and N=3 Superconformal Chern-Simons Gauge Theory,” Phys. Lett. B **671** (2009) 303 [arXiv:0810.2422 [hep-th]];  
I. Klebanov, T. Klose and A. Murugan, “AdS<sub>4</sub>/CFT<sub>3</sub> – Squashed, Stretched and Warped,” JHEP **0903** (2009) 140 [arXiv:0809.3773 [hep-th]]. I. R. Klebanov, S. S. Pufu and F. D. Rocha, “The Squashed, Stretched, and Warped Gets Perturbed,” arXiv:0904.1009 [hep-th].
- [11] L. Castellani and L. J. Romans, “N=3 And N=1 Supersymmetry In A New Class Of Solutions For D = 11 Supergravity,” Nucl. Phys. B **238** (1984) 683;  
L. Castellani, R. D’Auria and P. Fre, “SU(3) X SU(2) X U(1) From D = 11 Supergravity,” Nucl. Phys. B **239** (1984) 610;  
F. A. Bais, H. Nicolai and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, “Geometry Of Coset Spaces And Massless Modes Of The Squashed Seven Sphere In Supergravity,” Nucl. Phys. B **228** (1983) 333;  
B. Biran, F. Englert, B. de Wit and H. Nicolai, “Gauged N=8 Supergravity And Its Breaking From Spontaneous Compactification,” Phys. Lett. B **124** (1983) 45 [Erratum-ibid. B **128** (1983) 461];  
B. Biran, A. Casher, F. Englert, M. Rومان and P. Spindel, “The Fluctuating Seven Sphere In Eleven-Dimensional Supergravity,” Phys. Lett. B **134** (1984) 179;  
D. V. Volkov, D. P. Sorokin and V. I. Tkach, “Supersymmetry Vacuum Configurations In A D = 11 Supergravity,” JETP Lett. **40** (1984) 1162 [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **40** (1984) 356]; “On Geometrical Structure Of Compactified Subspaces In D = 11 Supergravity,” Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **41** (1985) 872 [Yad. Fiz. **41** (1985) 1373];  
G. W. Gibbons, D. N. Page and C. N. Pope, “Einstein Metrics on S\*\*3 R\*\*3 and R\*\*4 Bundles,” Commun. Math. Phys. **127** (1990) 529.
- [12] M. J. Duff, H. Lu and C. N. Pope, “Supersymmetry without supersymmetry,” Phys. Lett. B **409** (1997) 136 [arXiv:hep-th/9704186]; “AdS(3) x S\*\*3 (un)twisted and squashed, and an O(2,2,Z) multiplet of dyonic strings,” Nucl. Phys. B **544** (1999) 145 [arXiv:hep-th/9807173];  
E. Halyo, “Supergravity on AdS(5/4) x Hopf fibrations and conformal field theories,” Mod. Phys. Lett. A **15** (2000) 397 [arXiv:hep-th/9803193];  
C. N. Pope, A. Sadrzadeh and S. R. Scuro, “Timelike Hopf duality and type IIA\* string solutions,” Class. Quant. Grav. **17** (2000) 623 [arXiv:hep-th/9905161];  
M. Cvetič, H. Lu and C. N. Pope, “Consistent warped-space Kaluza-Klein reductions, half-maximal gauged supergravities and CP(n) constructions,” Nucl. Phys. B **597** (2001) 172 [arXiv:hep-th/0007109].
- [13] H. Ishihara and K. Matsuno, “Kaluza-Klein black holes with squashed horizons,” Prog. Theor. Phys. **116** (2006) 417 [arXiv:hep-th/0510094];  
T. Wang, “A rotating Kaluza-Klein black hole with squashed horizons,” Nucl. Phys. B **756** (2006) 86 [arXiv:hep-th/0605048];  
T. Nakagawa, H. Ishihara, K. Matsuno and S. Tomizawa, “Charged Rotating

- Kaluza-Klein Black Holes in Five Dimensions,” Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 044040 [arXiv:0801.0164 [hep-th]];
- S. Tomizawa, H. Ishihara, K. Matsuno and T. Nakagawa, “Squashed Kerr-Godel Black Holes - Kaluza-Klein Black Holes with Rotations of Black Hole and Universe -,” arXiv:0803.3873 [hep-th];
- K. Matsuno, H. Ishihara, T. Nakagawa and S. Tomizawa, “Rotating Kaluza-Klein Multi-Black Holes with Godel Parameter,” Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 064016 [arXiv:0806.3316 [hep-th]];
- S. Tomizawa and A. Ishibashi, “Charged Black Holes in a Rotating Gross-Perry-Sorkin Monopole Background,” Class. Quant. Grav. **25** (2008) 245007 [arXiv:0807.1564 [hep-th]].
- [14] H. Ishihara, M. Kimura, K. Matsuno and S. Tomizawa, “Kaluza-Klein multi-black holes in five-dimensional Einstein-Maxwell theory,” Class. Quant. Grav. **23** (2006) 6919 [arXiv:hep-th/0605030]; “Black holes on Eguchi-Hanson space in five-dimensional Einstein-Maxwell theory,” Phys. Rev. D **74** (2006) 047501 [arXiv:hep-th/0607035];
- S. Tomizawa, H. Ishihara, M. Kimura and K. Matsuno, “Supersymmetric black rings on Eguchi-Hanson space,” Class. Quant. Grav. **24** (2007) 5609 [arXiv:0705.1098 [hep-th]];
- K. Matsuno, H. Ishihara, M. Kimura and S. Tomizawa, “Coalescence of Rotating Black Holes on Eguchi-Hanson Space,” Phys. Rev. D **76** (2007) 104037 [arXiv:0707.1757 [hep-th]];
- C. M. Yoo, H. Ishihara, M. Kimura, K. Matsuno and S. Tomizawa, “Horizons of Coalescing Black Holes on Eguchi-Hanson Space,” Class. Quant. Grav. **25** (2008) 095017 [arXiv:0708.0708 [gr-qc]].
- [15] S. Mizoguchi and N. Ohta, “More on the similarity between  $D = 5$  simple supergravity and M theory,” Phys. Lett. B **441** (1998) 123 [arXiv:hep-th/9807111].
- [16] D. J. Gross and M. J. Perry, “Magnetic Monopoles In Kaluza-Klein Theories,” Nucl. Phys. B **226** (1983) 29.
- [17] For example, Norman Steenrod, “*The Topology of Fibre Bundles*” (Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics and Physics) (PMS-14) by Steenrod, N., published by Princeton University Press.
- [18] M. Hatsuda and W. Siegel, “A new holographic limit of  $AdS(5) \times S^{*5}$ ,” Phys. Rev. D **67** (2003) 066005 [arXiv:hep-th/0211184].
- [19] M. Hatsuda and K. Kamimura, “Projective Coordinates and Projective Space Limit,” Nucl. Phys. B **798** (2008) 310 [arXiv:0707.0326 [hep-th]].
- [20] E. Cremmer and J. Scherk, “The Supersymmetric Nonlinear Sigma Model In Four-Dimensions And Its Coupling To Supergravity,” Phys. Lett. B **74** (1978) 341;
- V. L. Golo and A. M. Perelomov, “Solution Of The Duality Equations For The Two-Dimensional  $SU(N)$  Invariant Chiral Model,” Phys. Lett. B **79** (1978) 112;
- H. Eichenherr, “ $SU(N)$  Invariant Nonlinear Sigma Models,” Nucl. Phys. B **146** (1978) 215 [Erratum-ibid. B **155** (1979) 544];
- E. Witten, “Instantons, The Quark Model, And The  $1/N$  Expansion,” Nucl. Phys.

B **149** (1979) 285;

N. J. Hitchin, A. Karlhede, U. Lindstrom and M. Rocek, “HyperKähler Metrics and Supersymmetry,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **108** (1987) 535.

- [21] J. M. Maldacena, G. W. Moore and N. Seiberg, “Geometrical interpretation of D-branes in gauged WZW models,” *JHEP* **0107** (2001) 046 [arXiv:hep-th/0105038].